UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAUL REYES, MIGUEL ANGEL REYES,

Plaintiffs,

-V-

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 20 Civ. 5452 (SLC)

ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

HEALTHY FRESH JUICE INC., JAIME BAEZA, and IVAN ZUNIGA,

Defendants.

SARAH L. CAVE, United States Magistrate Judge.

The parties in this wage-and-hour case under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") have consented to my jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 for purposes of reviewing their proposed settlement (ECF No. 21), and have submitted a joint Letter in support of settlement (ECF No. 20) and Settlement Agreement (ECF No. 20-1) for approval under <u>Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.</u>, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015). Courts generally recognize a "strong presumption in favor of finding a settlement fair" in FLSA cases like this one, as courts are "not in as good a position as the parties to determine the reasonableness of an FLSA settlement." <u>Souza v. 65 St. Marks Bistro</u>, No. 15 Civ. 327 (JLC), 2015 WL 7271747, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2015) (citation omitted). In addition, the plaintiff in this case has expressed serious concerns about collectability and has agreed to a payment schedule, which "militates in favor of finding a settlement reasonable." <u>Lliguichuzcha v. Cinema 60, LLC</u>, 948 F. Supp. 2d 362, 365 (S.D.N.Y. 2013); <u>see also Hart v. RCl Hosp. Holdings, Inc.</u>, No. 09 Civ. 3043 (PAE), 2015 WL 5577713, at *10 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 22, 2015) (significant "risk that plaintiffs would not be able to collect, or fully collect, on a judgment" supported approval of settlement agreement, which "guarantee[d]

Case 1:20-cv-05452-SLC Document 22 Filed 04/09/21 Page 2 of 2

recovery from the other two defendants in the event that [one] prove[d] unable to pay the entire

settlement amount").

Having carefully reviewed the joint letter-motion in support of settlement, the Settlement

Agreement and accompanying exhibits, the Court finds that all of the terms of the proposed

settlement, including the allocation of attorneys' fees and costs, appear to be fair and reasonable

under the totality of the circumstances and in light of the factors enumerated in Wolinsky v.

Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012). Accordingly, the Court approves the

settlement.

This action is dismissed with prejudice and without costs except as may be stated in the

Settlement Agreement. The Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement Agreement.

Any pending motions are moot. The Clerk of Court is respectfully requested mark ECF No. 20 as

"granted," and close this case.

Dated:

New York, New York

April 9, 2021

SO ORDERED.

SARAH L. CAVE

United States Magistrate Judge

2